

The Eurasia Proceedings of Science, Technology, Engineering & Mathematics (EPSTEM), 2024

Volume 29, Pages 283-294

ICRETS 2024: International Conference on Research in Engineering, Technology and Science

Statistical Methods for Processing Data on the Impact of Wind Farms on Birds

Vladimir Yeremieiev Melitopol State University

Valery Siokhin Melitopol State University

Alexander Matsyura Melitopol State University

Dmitriy Bukreev Melitopol State University

Abstract: This article is devoted to the processing and analysis of observational results that make it possible to predict the impact of wind power on the environmental situation; in the course of the work, an algorithm for statistical processing of the results of monitoring the dynamics of ornithocomplexes on the territory of wind power plants (WPPs) is proposed. During the study, it was found that the parameters for the statistical analysis of ornithocomplexes on the territory of the wind farm are: the number of birds, the number of species, the time spent at the recording site and the activity coefficients of individuals of different species at different altitudes. The solution to the most frequently encountered problems in the analysis of bird migration in the wind farm zone is considered: 1) An algorithm has been developed for the primary statistical processing of information obtained by the route counting method and observations in accordance with the recommendations of the Scottish Natural Heritage Foundation. 2) The features of the application of correlation and regression analysis using the Student, Pearson and Fisher criteria are considered. 3) An algorithm for statistical analysis based on the trend approach is proposed. The considered statistical methods were tested on the results of migratory bird census on the territory of the Prymorsk-1 wind farm located on the coast of the Sea of Azov.

Keywords: Wind farms, Statistical processing, Avifauna, Statistical analysis, Birds.

Introduction

The intensive development of wind energy has a significant impact on the avifauna. Numerous observations of researches testify to the need to expand research on the dynamics of ornithocomplexes on the territory of wind farms with the involvement of information technologies and statistical methods of information processing (Ralph, 2009; Felix Liechti & Susanna Commenda-Zehnder, 2013; Bicknell et al., 2019). A large number of studies have been devoted to the study of the wind energy impact on ornithological complexes (Shifeng et al., 2015; Osadchyi et al., 2019; Siokhin et al., 2021; Bernardino et al., 2013). One of the main tasks of monitoring birds on the territory of the wind farms is to obtain data on the dynamics of their quantitative and species characteristics, as well as the height and directionof migration in different seasons (Kunz et al., 2007; Hull & Muir., 2010)

The results of long-term observations over several years make it possible to predict the impactof wind power on the ecological situation associated with changes in the avifauna. Currently, there is an extensive information related to the interaction of birds with wind turbines in various regions, which has been accumulated during the processing of the results of monitoring the territory of wind farms and adjacent regions for several decades (Ralph, 2009; Felix Liechti & Susanna Commenda-Zehnder, 2013; Bicknell et al.,

© 2024 Published by ISRES Publishing: www.isres.org

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 Unported License, permitting all non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. - Selection and peer-review under responsibility of the Organizing Committee of the Conference

2019; Kunz et al., 2007; Hull &. Muir, 2010). The use of various methods of observation expands the possibility of predicting undesirable impact on the avifauna and taking effective measures to reduce this impact (Kalender & Salih, 2017; Anderson et al., 1999).

When organizing observations, the recommendations of the Scottish Natural Heritage Foundation (*SNH*) (Osadchyi et al., 2019; Anderson et al., 1999; Scottish Natural Heritage, 2014; Yeremieiev et al., 2020) are often used, which provide for the fulfillment of a number of requirements. In particular, it is assumed that monitoring should be carried out in several areas, which in terms of their landscape and biotope characteristics adequately reflect the entire territory of the wind farm. A sufficient amount of time is allocated for observations, covering the main periods of bird migration in the region. Generally, research should be carried out in spring and autumn during the bird migration, as well as during the breeding period and in winter. Observation is carried out simultaneously by several researches (Osadchyi et al., 2019)., Scottish Natural Heritage, 2014; Yeremieiev et al., 2020). During monitoring, the following parameters are recorded:

1. Date, time of the day, species of counted birds and their number at the sites.

2. Direction of flight, flight altitude and type of flight (transit, forage, demonstration).

3. The time spent by each bird flying below the edge of the wind wheel H_1 , above its edge H_2 and in the risk zone (*RZ*) of collision with the rotor blades at heights between H_1 and H_2 .

The results of observations in accordance with the recommendations of the Scottish Natural Heritage Foundation make it possible to determine the activity coefficient of birds of the *j* species in the risk zone of collision with turbines at the *k* site $K^{Risk(k)(j)}$, which is necessary to predict the interaction of birds with rotor blades. Its value is (Osadchyi et al., 2019)

$$K^{Risk(k)(j)} = \sum_{i} n_{i}^{Risk(k)(j)} t_{i}^{Risk(k)(j)} , \qquad (1)$$

(1)

where $n^{Risk(k)(j)}$ - the number of birds of the *j* species in the *i* group recorded in the *k* site in the *RZ* during the time interval $t^{Risk(k)(j)}$.

In a similar way, it is possible to characterize the activity of birds for other heights, as wellas in various monitoring areas and the wind farm territory as a whole. For example, the activity coefficient of birds in the k site is determined by the formula (2)

$$K^{(k)(j)} = \sum_{i} n_{i}^{(k)(j)} t_{i}^{(k)(j)} .$$
⁽²⁾

An alternative way to obtain information about the behaviour of birds on the territory of the wind farm is the method of route census (*RAM*) Siokhin, et al., 2018). In this case, all birds sitting on the ground and in flight are taken into account. For the last group of birds, the number of individuals of each species, the direction of migration, the height and the type of flight (transit, forage, breeding) are determined. Generally, there are recorded those birds that are located ata distance of no more than 500 m to the left and to the right of the direction of the observer movement along the selected route.

The effectiveness of the analysis of the recorded data largely depends on the adequacy of statistical samples and the correctness of the application of statistical methods. The peculiarity of the processing of primary information in ornithology is associated with the presence of a number of objective reasons, which often make it difficult to obtain reliable conclusions. Therefore, the creation of algorithms that ensure the correct processing of observation results is of great practical importance. This research paper is devoted to the description of the methods of mathematical statistics adapted to the study of the dynamics of ornithocomplexes on the territory of the wind farms.

Results and Discussion

Analysis of Recent Research and Publications

The purpose of the paper is to develop an algorithm for the use of statistical methods in the analysis of the results of monitoring the avifauna on the territory of the wind farms in solvingthe following problems. Primary statistical processing of information on the number of birds of various species, flight altitude and

International Conference on Research in Engineering, Technology and Science (ICRETS), August 22-25, 2024, Thaskent/Uzbekistan

time of their stay at the observation sites, obtained by the *SNH* (Osadchyi et al., 2019; Siokhin et al., 2021; Scottish Natural Heritage, 2014) and *RAM* (Siokhin et al., 2021; Siokhin et al., 2018) methods.

1. Correlation and regression analysis to determine the dependence of the number of birds on various factors using the Student, Pearson, Fisher, Irwin criteria.

2. Trend analysis of the results of monitoring the wind farm territory based on the Student, Irwin, Durbin - Watson, Pearson and Fisher criteria.

Work Results

Primary statistical analysis of the observation results

The number of birds that are recorded in the process of monitoring on the territory of thewind farms varies from several dozens to several thousand individuals and more. Therefore, information processing is carried out with the involvement of computer technologies based on mathematical statistics (Osadchyi et al., 2019; Siokhin et al., 2021; Yeremieiev et al., 2020). In this section, we will focus on the traditional methods of primary statistical processing of observational results, which are usually used in the study of avifauna on the wind farm territory.

The following parameters serve as objects of statistical analysis on the wind farm territory: n_x - the number of birds, the number of species, the time spent at the registration site, and the activity coefficients of individuals of various species at different heights, determined by formulas (1), (2). Let $X=x_1$, x_2 , ... x_n is the number of values that are obtained during the registration of individuals at one of the registration sites during *n* days. The degree of scattering of each x_i relative to the average value $x_a=\sum x_i/n_x$ is estimated using the empirical variance $s_x^2 = \sum (x_i - x_a)^2/n_x$ or the corrected variance $s_x^2 = \sum (x_i - x_a)^2/(n_x - 1)$. The average statistical deviation is taken equal to $s_x = \sqrt{s_x^2}$. Statistical data of ornithological research are distinguished by large values of s_x , therefore, in some cases (for example, in the graphical interpretation of the results), a smoothing operation is used. The easiest way to smooth is to convert the original data to new values using the formula

$$x_{k} = (x_{k-1} + x_{k} + x_{k+1})/3, \ k = 2, 3, \dots, n-1.$$
(3)

The weak point of smoothing is the decrease in the number of elements in the original row statistics, which is equal to n-2 with a single smoothing. Along with the simple operation (1), where the averaging is performed over three elements, other methods of linear and nonlinear transformation are used, which are widely used in economic and sociological research (Fedoseev et al., 1999).

In many cases, there is a problem of comparing monitoring results obtained in different situations, as well as when comparing data at two sites or for different seasons of the year. Let $X=x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ and $Y=y_1, y_2, ..., y_n$ are two samples, each consisting of n_x and n_y elements. Let us denote the mean sample values as x_a , y_a . The verification of the hypothesis about the equality of mathematical expectations is carried out in the case of a known random variable distribution law at a given significance level q. Various methods are used to identify the distribution law (V. V. Fedoseev, A. N. Garmash, D. M. and others, 1999; N. Sh. Kremer, 2004) As an example, let's consider the use of the Pearson's criterion (N. Sh. Kremer, 2004) when establishing the normal law of data distribution for the sample $X=x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$. Let's divide the sample into m equal intervals $h=(x_n-x_1)/m$. Let us denote the number of elements in the k interval as n_{xk} . The Pearson criterion is calculated by the formula

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{k=m} \frac{(n_{xk} - n_{xk})^{2}}{n_{xk}},$$
(4)

where $n'_k = hn_x p_k/s_x$ - a theoretical value of the number of elements in the k interval, corresponding to the normal random variable distribution law for the analyzed sample, p_k - the probability that the random variable is in the k interval.

In the case of a normal distribution

$$p_{k} = \exp(-z_{k}^{2}/2) / \sqrt{2\pi}, z_{k} = (x_{k} - x_{a}) / s,$$
(5)

where x_k - the average value of the elements in the *k* interval.

If the value of the χ^2 -criterion calculated by the formula (4) is less than the critical value χ^2_{kr} for *m*-3 degrees of freedom at given significance level *q*, then the sample obeys the chosen law. For $\chi^2 > \chi^2_{kr}$, the hypothesis about the possible use of the normal distribution law is rejected. The normal distribution law is characterized by two parameters - the mathematical expectation *a* and the general variance σ^2 . In practice, instead of *a*, the average value of x_a , is used, and instead of the general variance, the empirical variance s_x^2 is used.

During the primary processing of row statistics, it is recommended to analyze the possibility of the appearance of anomalous values associated with technical errors in obtaining data or processing the original information. The rejection of erroneous data can be carried out by the Irwin's method. The method is based on determining the coefficients $\lambda_i = |x_i - x_{i-1}|/s_x$, $i=2, 3, ..., n_x$. The values of λ_i are compared with the critical parameter λ_a . If $\lambda_i > \lambda_a$, then the element with the number *i* of the row statistics is rejected. Parameters λ_a depending on the sample size for the 5% significance level are presented in Table 1.

Table 1	. Critic	cal Irwin	values	λ_a depe	nding of	n the sa	mple size	e n
Parameters								-
λ_{lpha}	2.8	2.3	1.5	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.0	-
п	2	8	10	20	30	50	100	

It should be noted that the rejected element may not be the result of a measurement error, but reflect the influence of an unknown factor. But here mathematics is powerless. The decision on rejection is made by the researcher. Testing the hypothesis about the equality of two mathematical expectations of the average value is performed using the Student's T-test (Bevanger et al., 2009)

$$T = \frac{|x_a - y_a|}{s} \sqrt{\frac{n_x n_y}{n_x + n_y}},\tag{6}$$

where $s = \sqrt{[(n_x - 1)s_x^2 + (n_y - 1)s_y^2]/(n_x + n_y - 2)}.$

If *T* is less than the critical value T_{kr} for the significance level *q* for $v=n_x+n_y-2$ degrees of freedom, then the hypothesis of equality of means is true. For $|T| > T_{kr}$, the hypothesis is rejected. Sample values of variances s_x^2 , s_y^2 characterizing the scatter of the results of specific measurements generally differ, therefore, before using the formula (6), one should make sure that the general variances $\sigma_x^2 = \sigma_y^2$ are equal. Testing the hypothesis about the equality of general variances can be carried out using the Fisher criterion

$$F = \begin{cases} \dot{s}_{x}^{2} / \dot{s}_{y}^{2}, ecnu \cdot \dot{s}_{x}^{2} > \dot{s}_{y}^{2} \\ \dot{s}_{y}^{2} / \dot{s}_{x}^{2}, ecnu \cdot \dot{s}_{y}^{2} > \dot{s}_{x}^{2} \end{cases}$$
(7)

If the value of F is less than the critical value F_{kr} with a given significance level, then the general variances are equal. Otherwise, the hypothesis of equality of dispersions is rejected. Checking the homogeneity of variances with a large number of samples is carried out using the Duncan method and other methods. As an example, we will make a primary statistical analysis of the monitoring results at three vantage points (VP) belonging to the territory of the Prymorsk-1 wind farm.



Figure 1. The layout of bird counting points on the territory of the Primorsk-1 wind farm

The site sizes of VP1, VP2 and VP3 were respectively 0,50 km², 0,86 km² and 1,23 km², Fig.1. Observations were carried out during the spring period of the bird migration in 2017 (Siokhin et al., 2018). The results of daily registration of the total number of birds $n^{(k)}$ at the k site per 1 km², which flew in transit during the spring migration period, are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. The total number of birds flying in transit through the first $n^{(1)}$, second $n^{(2)}$ and third $n^{(3)}$

	observation sites on different days							
Days	13.03.17	14.03.17	25.03.17	02.04.17	03.04.17	04.04.17	28.04.17	
i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
$n^{(1)}$	74	106	264	102	70	62	238	
$n^{(2)}$	43.0	76.7	30.23	25.58	1.26	90.7	59.3	
$n^{(3)}$	32.5	39.0	108.9	85.4	23.6	52.0	63.4	

Table 3. Values of variances s^2 , standard deviations s_x from the mean value x_a and the Pearson's criteria χ^2 after rejection of erroneous measurements

Vantaas asiata	VP1	VD	VP3
Vantage points	VPI	VP2	VP3
n	7	6	7
x_a	131	54.3	57.8
s_x^2	6048	564	800
S _x	77.8	23.7	28.3
χ^2	6.7	2.8	3.1

At the first stage, we reject possible erroneous measurements using the Irwin method. According to Table 1, Irwin's critical value λ_a for the 5% significance level with the number of measurements n=7 is about 2.4. Coefficients λ_i for all row statistics in accordance with the data in Table 3.1.2 is much less than the critical value. The exception is the measurement $n^{(2)}=1.26$, which was carried out on April 3,2017, when λ_i turned out to be 3.1.

The results of variance calculations of s_x^2 , standard deviations s_x and the Pearson's criteria χ^2 according to the data of Table 2, from which the measurement $n^{(2)}=1.26$ obtained on April 3,2017 is excluded, are presented in Table 3 The values of x^2 were calculated for four intervals: m=4. The Pearson's criterion is recommended to apply for large samples. Therefore, the obtained data should be treated with caution. The critical value of the Pearson criterion for m=4 and the 5% significance level at m-3=1 degrees of freedom is 3.8. The calculated value $x^2=6.7$ for the first area is greater than the critical value, so the first row statistics do not obey the normal random variable distributionlaw.

Checking the homogeneity of variances in the number of birds in different sites using the Fisher's formulas (7) gave the following results for a 5% significance level: $F_{23}=1.4$ at $F_{kr}=5.0$, $F_{13}=7.6$ at $F_{kr}=4.3$, $F_{12}=10.4$ at $F_{kr}=5.0$. Here, the numerical indices in the notation of the F_{ij} criteria refer to the numbers of the monitoring sites. The calculation resultsshowed that the hypothesis about the homogeneity of the variances of the analyzed row statisticscan only be accepted when comparing the number of birds in the second and third sites, where the Fisher criterion $F_{23}=1.4$ is less than the critical value $F_{kr}=5.0$. In other cases, the homogeneity hypothesis is not fulfilled.

Let us test the hypothesis about the equality of the average number of birds in the second and third sites. The Student's T-test calculated by the formula (6) is 0.0088, the critical value of T_{kr} for the 5% significance level at 11 degrees of freedom is 2.2. Since the value of T is several times less than the critical value T_{kr} , it can be argued that the mathematical expectations for the number of birds in the second and third sites are the same.

Correlation and Regression Analysis

Methods of correlation and regression analysis are a powerful tool in identifying relationships between different parameters or determining the influence of one factor on another. Let's consider two samples X, Y with the same number of elements n. The presence of a linear correlation between them is determined by the correlation coefficient

$$r_{xy} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} x_i y_i - n x_a y_a\right) / n s_x s_y$$
(8)

where $s_x = \sqrt{s_x^2}$, $s_y = \sqrt{s_y^2}$ -standard deviations.

The significance of the coefficient (5) is checked using the Student's T-test for q=n-2 degrees of freedom:

$$T = |r_{xy}| \sqrt{n-2} / \sqrt{1-r_{xy}^2}.$$
 (9)

If the value of *T* is greater than the critical value T_{kr} at the chosen signi_cance level *q*, then there is a relationship between the parameters *X* and *Y*. Otherwise, the dependency is considered not installed. The existence of a correlation dependence allows us to represent it in the form of a linear regression equation

$$y=a+bx,$$
(10)

where $a=y_a-r_{xy}x_as_y/s_x$, $b=r_{xy}s_y/s_x$.

The coefficients *a* and *b* can also be found by the least squares method (LSM). The LSM method allows us to find the regression equation in the case of linear and nonlinear multifactorial models in a more general form

$$y(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = a_0 + b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + \dots + b_{12} x_{12} + b_{13} x_{13} + \dots + b_{11} x_1^2 + \dots$$
(11)

The adequacy of the regression equations (9), (10) is checked using the Fisher criterion, based on a comparison of two variances. One of them $\dot{s}_y^2 = \sum (y_i \cdot y_a)^2 / (n-1)$ determines the dispersion of the observation results y_i from the average value y_a . The second dispersion of adequacy $\dot{s}_{ad}^2 = \sum (y_i \cdot \bar{y}_i)^2 / (n-2)$ characterizes the degree of deviation of the recorded data y_i from the values calculated using the regression equation at $x = x_i$:

$$F=\dot{s}_{v}^{2}/\dot{s}_{ad}^{2}$$
 (12)

If the value of *F* is greater than the critical value F_{kr} with a given significance level *q* with two degrees of freedom equal to *n*-*1* for the variance s^2y and *n*-2 for the variance s^2_{ad} , then mathematical models (11), (12) adequately describe the situation under study. Otherwise, the equations are not adequate. As an example, we will study the possibility of the existence of a correlation dependence between the activity coefficient $K^{(3)}$ on the total number of birds $n^{(3)}$ per 1 km based on the results of monitoring in the spring migration season in the third site of the Prymorsk-1 wind farm. The initial data are given in Table 4.

Table 4. The number of birds n(k) and their activity coe_cients K(k) in the third site of the wind farm on di_erent days of observation

days of observation							
$\frac{\text{Days}}{n^{(3)}}$	13.03.17	14.03.17	25.03.17	02.04.17	03.04.17	04.04.17	28.04.17
	32.5	39.0	108.9	85.4	23.6	52.0	63.4
<i>K</i> ⁽³⁾ , s	1407.3	1634.0	3669.0	1974.9	894.4	1879.6	2083.8

The correlation coefficient r_{xy} and the Student's *T*-test, calculated by formulas (7), (8), are 0.92 and 5.2, respectively. The critical value of T_{kr} at 5 degrees of freedom for the 5% signifficance level is 2.57. Since the Student's criterion is greater than the critical value of T_{kr} , then the relationship between the statistical samples in Table 3.2.1 exists and we can proceed to the construction of the regression equation (2.3). In the case under consideration, it has the form

$$K^{(3)} = 432.9 + 26.00n^{(3)}.$$
 (13)

The regression equation (13) is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. The regression equation (13)

International Conference on Research in Engineering, Technology and Science (ICRETS), August 22-25, 2024, Thaskent/Uzbekistan

The Fisher criterion calculated by the formula (10) is 5.3, which is greater than the critical value Fkr=4.95. The use of the Student's and Fisher's criteria in this case allows us to draw the same conclusion: the mathematical model (13) is adequate.

Trend Analysis

Let us pay attention to the situation, often encountered in the ornithology, when the measured Student's criterion is comparable to or somewhat less than its critical value. In this case, it is recommended to use the following methods of processing observations:

- 1. evaluate the significance of the regression coefficient b in equation (9);
- 2. apply the Fisher criterion;
- 3. use the method of the trend analysis of the study of time series analysis.

Time series differ from row statistics in that the values of its elements depend on the previous elements (Fedoseev et al., 1999). Nevertheless, the trend analysis algorithm, in our opinion, can be extended to the results of ornithological research with some reservations. Of practical interest, for example, is the assessment of the possibility of changing the number of birds in a given region under the influence of anthropogenic factors over time.

The main task of the trend analysis is to identify the trend of changing one factor as another one changes. The mathematical side of the trend model is also expressed by formulas like (8), (9). When identifying a trend in ornithological research, we single out two components: the main one that determines the development trend, called the trend, and the random one e_i , responsible for the deviation from the trend. The deviation value e_i is determined by the difference between the measurement result y_i and the value of functions (8), (9): $e_i=y_i\cdot y(x_i)$. A necessary condition for successful data processing using the trend is the fulfillment of the following requirements (Fedoseev et al., 1999):

- 1. e_i deviations are random;
- 2. different e_i deviations do not depend on each other, i.e. there is no autocorrelation;
- 3. deviations obey the normal distribution law.

Let us consider the procedure for carrying out the trend analysis. At the first stage, the randomness of deviations is checked. The randomness is tested using turning points. A turning point is the result of a measurement, for which one of the following conditions is met:

$$y_{i-1} < y_i > y_{i+1} \text{ or } y_{i-1} > y_i < y_{i+1}.$$
 (14)

If the number of turning points *m* is greater than the critical value m_{kr} , then the deviations are considered random. The critical value for the 95% confidence level is given by the formula

$$m_{kr} = \left| 2\frac{(n-2)}{3} - 2\sqrt{\frac{16n-29}{90}} \right|, \tag{15}$$

where n - the number of elements of the row statistics.

Checking deviations for autocorrelation is carried out using the Durbin-Watson test d according to the formula

$$d = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (e_i - e_{i+1})^2 / \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2.$$
 (16)

The calculated value of d is compared with the tabular values d_1 and d_2 . There may be two cases here:

1. The value of d does not fall within the interval from 2 to 4. For $d > d_2$, there is no autocorrelation. If $d < d_1$, then there is a relationship between the deviations. When the condition $d_1 \le d \le d_2$ is fulfilled, it is impossible to make an unambiguous conclusion.

2. The value of d falls within the range from 2 to 4. In this case, the parameter d=4-d is determined. Further, the analysis is carried out in accordance with the algorithm given in paragraph (a), where the parameter d is used instead of d.

Checking the compliance of deviations with the normal distribution law is performed using the Pearson's χ^2 -criterion (4). In addition to it, the Westergaard method, the *DR* criteri on and other criteria are used (Fedoseev et al., 1999).

When the above conditions are met, the trend analysis is started. The initial data from n measurements are divided into two approximately identical groups. The first group includes the first n_1 measurements, the second - the remaining n_2 elements: $n=n_1+n_2$. Letus introduce the notation for the average values and variances of elements in each group:

$$y^{(1)} = \sum y^{(1)}{}_{i}/n_{1}, y^{(2)} = \sum y^{(2)}{}_{i}/n_{2}, \dot{s}_{(1)}^{2} = \sum (y^{(1)}{}_{i}-y^{(1)})^{2}/(n_{1}-1), \dot{s}_{(2)}^{2} = \sum (y^{(2)}{}_{i}-y^{(2)})^{2}/(n_{2}-1)$$

First, the hypothesis about the equality of variances in groups is tested using the Fisher criterion F(7). When the value of F is less than the critical value Fkr with a given level of significance, the general variances are equal and it is possible to proceed to assessing the presence of the trend using the Student's *T*-test (7). If the value of T is greater than the critical value of Tkr, then the trend exists. Otherwise, there is no trend. As an example, we will study the possibility of a trend in the number of birds *N* based on the results of n=11 observations in 2010-2011. The initial data are presented in Table 11. The equation for the dependence of the number of birds in thousands on the year of observation *x*, obtained by the LSM method, has the form

$$N=454.5-0.223x.$$
 (17)

The last line in the Table 5 contains the deviations e_i of the observed values of N_i from the values of $N(x_i)$ obtained using the regression equation (3.4). The standard deviation was $s_x = 0.36$.

Table 5. The initial data for determining the possibility of existence of a trend in the change in the number of birds N_i in thousands based on the results of n=11 observations from 2000 to 2010

x_i , Year	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
N_i	9.3	8.7	8.6	8.1	8.5	8.0	7.4	7.7	6.3	7.2	7.3
$e_i = N_i - N(x_i)$	0.30	-0.08	0.041	-0.24	0.09	0.11	-0.27	0.25	-0.92	0.20	0.52

Let us carry out a rejection of possible anomalous values by the Irwin method. The critical value of the Irwin parameter λ_a in accordance with the data in Table 5 equals about 1.5. The maximum value of the parameter λ_i refers to the deviation for number 9 related to measurements in 2008: $\lambda_9 = |y_9 - y_8|/s_x = |-0.92 - 0.25|/0.36 = 3.25$. Since λ_9 is greater than λ_a , the result of the measurement in 2008 should be discarded. In all other measurements, the parameter λ_i is less than the critical value, so they are saved for further analysis. The corrected data after rejection are given in Table 6.

Table 6. Adjusted data to determine the possibility of the existence of a trend in the number of birds N_i in thousands based on the results of n=10 observations from 2000 to 2010

x_i ,Year	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2009	2010
i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
N_i	9.3	8.7	8.6	8.1	8.5	8.0	7.4	7.7	7.2	7.3
$e_i = N_i - N(x_i)$	0.31	-0.10	0.005	-0.31	0.28	0.02	-0.43	0.07	-0.04	0.25

The regression equation obtained by the LSM method for the dependence of the correcteddata has the form

$$N=397.80-0.1944x,$$
 (18)

where x is the year, N is the number of birds in thousands.

The regression equation (18) is shown in Figure 3. The last line in Table 5 contains deviations e_i of the observed values of N_i from the values of $N(x_i)$ obtained using the regression equation (18). As expected, the mean square deviation of the new model decreased from 0.36 to 0.23 compared to equation (17). At the first stage, we check the requirement for randomness of deviations by the method of turning points. In accordance with condition (1), the turning points are the results of seven deviations (m=7) with numbers 2-5, 7-9 in Table 6.



Figure 3. The regression equation (18)

The critical value m_{kr} for ten measurements n=10 is 2.92 according to the formula (12). Since *m* is less than m_{kr} , the deviations are random. Next, we check the deviations for autocorrelation. The lower and upper critical limits of the Durbin-Watson test for 1% significance are $d_1=0.32$, $d_2=1.30$. The Durbin-Watson criterioncalculated by the formula (13) is d=1.95. The value of *d* is greater than the upper bound of d_2 , so there is no autocorrelation.

Let us check the correspondence of deviations to the normal distribution law using the Pearson's χ^2 -criterion. Let us break down the sample of n=10 deviations of Table 6 on m=4 intervals of size $h=(e_{max}-e_{min})/m$. From Table 6 it follows that the maximum and minimum values of deviations are equal to $e_{max}=0.31$, $e_{min}=0.43$. Therefore, h=0.185. The Pearson χ^2 -criterion calculated by the formula (4) is 2.36. The critical value χ_{kr}^2 , for the 5% significance level is 3.8. Since the inequality $\chi^2 < \chi^2_{kr}$ is satisfied, the random variables ek obey the normal distribution law.

All the conditions for the trend analysis are met, so we can proceed to the study of the adequacy of the regression equation (18). The correlation coefficient r_{xy} between the row statistics N and x and the Student's T -test calculated by formulas (7), (8) for the data of Table 6 are -0.93 and 7.54 respectively. The critical value of T_{xy} at 8 degrees of freedom at a 5% significance level is 2.31. Since the Student's criterion is greater than the critical value of T_{kr} , then the relationship between the statistical samples in Table 5 exists.

The Fisher criterion calculated by the formula (11), is equal to 7.20, more than the critical value F_{kr} = 4.95, which indicates the adequacy of the mathematical model (18). In the caseunder consideration, the conclusions obtained using the Student's and Fisher's criteria coincide. Fisher's method is more stringent compared to the Student's method with small correlation coefficients at the level of 0.5-0.7, which can lead to different results. In this case, it is advisable to conduct additional trend studies.

Let us split the datain Table 6 into two identical groups A and B. In the first group A we will include the first five measurements, in the second - the remaining measurements. Means and variances in each group are $N^{(1)}=8.64$, $N^{(2)}=7.52$, $s_{(1)}^2=0.15$, $s_{(2)}^2=0.086$. We will check the homogeneity of the variances of the groups under consideration using the Fisher criterion (1.5)F=0.15/0.086=1.74. The critical value of F_{kr} for the 5% significance level at 4 degrees of freedom of the numerator and 4 degrees of freedom of the denominator is 6.39. Since $F_{kr}>F$, the variances are homogeneous.

Let us evaluate the presence of the trend using the Student's *T*-test (4). After substituting the average values of deviations and variances of each group into the formula (4), we have: T=12.9. This value is greater than the critical value $T_{kr}=2.31$ for 5% significance level at $n_1+n_2-2=8$ degrees of freedom, so the hypothesis of a trend is accepted. Consequently, the number of birds in the period from 2000 to 2010 is declining. If the average annual number of birds in the first 5 years was estimated at the level of 8640 individuals, then in the last five years it decreased to 7520 individuals. The results of the trend analysis are shown in Figure 4.

Let us compare the results obtained with the help of regression and the trend analyses. Using the regression equation (15), it can be found that the average annual number of birds in the first 5 years of observations was about $N^{(1)}_{Trend}$ =8610, and in the last 5 years it was about $N^{(2)}_{Trend}$ =6540 individuals. The difference between the two methods for assessing the trend is at the level of 0.3%.



Figure 4. The results of the trend analysis

Development of an Algorithm for Statistical Analysis

Numerous studies of the avifauna on the territory of the wind farms indicate great difficulties in organizing monitoring, collecting information, and in the process of statistical processing of the data obtained (Ralph et al., 2019; Bernardino et al., 2013; Kunz et al., 2007; Hull & Muir, 2010; Kalender & Salih, 2017). The impossibility of duplicating observations under absolutely identical conditions and the influence of uncontrollable factors associated with meteorological and other conditions are partially compensated by long-term observations up to 1-10 years. However, even in this case there are situations when the measured parameters of the dynamics of ornithocomplexes do not fit into the classical schemes of statistical regularities. In this case, it is necessary to pay special attention to rejecting erroneous measurements, identifying individual anomalous data, and careful checking the adequacy of the obtained mathematical models.

The reliability of conclusions based on the results of statistical analysis depends, first of all, on the correctness of the application of the criteria used. The use of each of them requires the fulfillment of certain conditions. For example, the use of the Student's, Irwin's, Durbin -Watson's, Pearson's, Fisher's and other criteria implies the obligatory compliance of the studied sample with the chosen random variable distribution laws. Based on the material presented in this paper and the accumulated experience of statistical studies in a number of publications (Ralph, 2009; Siokhin et al., 2021; Bernardino et al., 2013; Kunz et al., 2007; Anderson et al., 1999; Siokhin et al., 2018; Fedoseev, et al., 1999; Kremer, 2004; Bevanger et al., 2009), we can propose the following algorithm for statistical processing of the results of monitoring the wind farm territory.

Step 1. Selection or development of an information system to ensure the storage of observation results in the form of tables or databases, convenient for systematizing the information received and their subsequent analysis. Examples of such information and computer systems are presented in papers (Osadchyi et al., 2019; Siokhin et al., 2021; Siokhin et al., 2018).

1. Step 2. Primary statistical processing of monitoring results, which consists in determining the average values of row statistics, variances, rejecting the results of erroneous measurements and identifying the random variable distribution law.

2. Step 3. Testing hypotheses about the coincidence of mathematical expectations in a comparative analysis of the number of birds and other parameters, that determine their behavior in different monitoring sites and in different seasons.

3. Step 4. Calculation of correlation coefficients between various parameters that characterize the dynamics of ornithocomplexes depending on the census method, weather, seasonal or other conditions.

4. Step 5. Correlation-regression analysis. Construction of mathematical models, that determine the dependence of one parameter on another parameter.

5. Step 6. Trend analysis to identify the possibility of changes in the number of birds over time or the direction of change in one parameter as another parameter changes.

The proposed algorithm is focused on processing the results of monitoring the dynamics of ornithocomplexes on the territory of wind farms, obtained by the SNH and RAM methods, although they can also be used in the case of other observation methods.

Conclusions

An algorithm for analyzing the results of monitoring the dynamics of ornithological complexes on the territory of wind farms has been developed using statistical methods. The proposed algorithm allows solving the following problems:

1. Carrying out primary processing of information on the number of birds of various species, flight altitude and time spent on observation sites using the Student's *T*-test, Fisher and Pearson criteria.

2. Construction of regression equations that determine the dependence of the number of birds on various factors using the Student's *t*-test, Fisher and Irwin criteria.

3. Trend detection when studying the time dependence of the number of birds or the direction of change of one parameter as another parameter changes using turning points and the Student's *t*-test, Fisher, Irwin and Durbin-Watson tests. The proposed statistical methods have been tested in the analysis of the results of monitoring ornithocomplexes on the territory of the Prymorsk-1 wind farm.

The work was carried out under the state order FRRS-2023-0035 «Assessment of the state of seasonal ornithological complexes and transcontinental migrations at monitoring sites of the Azov-Black Sea region and Sivash, development of software for modeling and managing natural complexes»

Scientific Ethics Declaration

The authors declare that the scientific ethical and legal responsibility of this article published in EPSTEM Journal belongs to the authors.

Acknowledgements or Notes

* This article was presented as an oral presentation at the International Conference on Research in Engineering, Technology and Science (<u>www.icrets.net</u>) held in Tashskent/Uzbekistan on August 22-25, 2024.

* The authors of this paper are grateful to Anastasia Gorlova (Horlova) for translation into English.

References

- Anderson, R., Morrison, M., Sinclair, K., & Strickland, D. (1999). Studying wind energy/bird interactions: a guidance document (No. NREL/BK-500-27136). National Renewable Energy Lab.(NREL), Golden, CO (United States).
- Arikan, K., & Turan, S. L. (2017). Estimation of bird fatalities caused by wind turbines in Turkey. *Fresenius Environmental Bulletin*, 26(11), 6543-6550.
- Bernardino, J., Bispo, R., Costa, H., & Mascarenhas, M. (2013). Estimating bird and bat fatality at wind farms: a practical overview of estimators, their assumptions and limitations. *New Zealand Journal of Zoology*, 40(1), 63-74.
- Bevanger, K., Berntsen, F., Clausen, S., Dahl, E.L, Flagstad, O., Follestad, A., Halley, D., Hanssen, F., Hoel, P.L., Johnsen, L., Kvaløy, P., May, R., Nygård, T., Pedersen, H.C., Reitan, O., Steinheim, Y., & Vang, R. (2009). Pre- and post-construction studies of conflicts between birds and wind turbines in coastal Norway (BirdWind). *Norwegian Institute for Nature Research. Progress Report*. NINA Report 505. (pp. 70). Retrieved from http://www.nina.no/archive/nina/PppBasePdf/rapport/2009/505.pdf
- Bicknell, A. W., Sheehan, E. V., Godley, B. J., Doherty, P. D., & Witt, M. J. (2019). Assessing the impact of introduced infrastructure at sea with cameras: A case study for spatial scale, time and statistical power. *Marine Environmental Research*, 147, 126-137.
- Fedoseev, V. V., Garmash, A. N., & Daiitbegov, D. M. (1999). Economic-mathematical methods and applied models. *M., IuNITI*.
- Heritage, S. N. (2013). Recommended bird survey methods to inform impact assessment of onshore wind farms. *SNH Guidance. Scottish Natural Heritage, Battleby.*
- Hull, C.L., & Muir, S. (2010). Search areas for monitoring bird and bat carcasses at wind farms using a Monte-Carlo model. *Australasian Journal of Environmental Management*, 17 (2), 77-87.
- Kunz, T. H., Arnett, E. B., Cooper, B. M., Erickson, W. P., Larkin, R. P., Mabee, T., & Szewczak, J. M. (2007). Assessing impacts of wind-energy development on nocturnally active birds and bats: a guidance document. *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, 71(8), 2449-2486.

International Conference on Research in Engineering, Technology and Science (ICRETS), August 22-25, 2024, Thaskent/Uzbekistan

Kremer, N. Sh. (2004). Probability theory and mathematical statistics. M.: Izd. "Unity-Dana". (pp. 566).

- Liechti, F., Guélat, J., & Komenda-Zehnder, S. (2013). Modelling the spatial concentrations of bird migration to assess conflicts with wind turbines. *Biological Conservation*, *162*, 24-32.
- Osadchyi, V., Siokhin, V., Gorlov, P., Yeremieiev, V., & Osadcha, K. (2019). Development of the information system for forecasting collision between birds and wind farms. *Eastern-European Journal of Enterprise Technologies*, 4(2 (100)), 29-40.
- Siokhin, V. D., Osadchyi, V. V., Gorlov, P. I., & Yeremieiev, V. S. (2021, June). Identification of "Primorsk-1" wind power plant impact on the ecological situation connected with the behavior of ornithofauna on the azov sea coast. In *Journal of Physics: Conference series*, 1946(1), 012007. IOP Publishing.
- Siokhin, V. D., Horlov, P. I., Polishchuk, I. K., Podorozhny, S. M., Dolynna, O. M., Salnikova-Budenko, I. B., & others. (2018). Conducting modern monitoring studies and developing an expert opinion and a scientific report on the impact of the construction of wind turbines on the natural complexes of the environment, orni-thological complexes and migratory birds, bats within the boundaries of Primorye district of Zaporizhzhya region. *Scientific and Industrial Enterprise "Ekoresurs i monitorynh"*, (pp. 149).
- Wang, S., Wang, S., & Smith, P. (2015). Ecological impacts of wind farms on birds: Questions, hypotheses, and research needs. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 44, 599-607.
- Yeremieiev, V., Osadchyi, V., Gorlov, P., & Siokhin, V. (2020). Methodology for calculating the number of migratory birds in the territory of the wind farms of the Azov region using information and communication technology. In *E3S Web of Conferences* (No. 166, pp. 1-8). EDP Sciences.

Author Information							
Vladimir Yeremieiev	Siokhin Valery						
Professor, Doctor of Technical Sciences	Associate Professor, Candidate of Biological Sciences,						
Federal State Budgetary Educational Institution of Higher	Federal State Budgetary Educational Institution of Higher						
Education "Melitopol State University". Melitopol., Rus-	- Education "Melitopol State University". Melitopol., Russia						
sia Contact e-mail: evs1038@vandex.ru							
Contact C-mail. evs1050@yundex.ru							
Matsyura Alexander	Bukreev Dmitriy						
Professor, Doctor of Biological Sciences	Senior Lecturer						
Melitopol State University	Federal State Budgetary Educational Institution of Higher						
Russian Federation, Zaporizhia region, Melitopol,	Education "melitopol State University". Melitopol., Russia						
Bohdan Khmelnitsky ave., 18, Melitopol., Russia							

To cite this article:

Yeremieiev, V., Siokhin, V., Matsyura, A. & Bukreev, D. (2024). Statistical methods for processing data on the impact of wind farms on birds. *The Eurasia Proceedings of Science, Technology, Engineering & Mathematics (EPSTEM), 29, 283-294.*